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Is Confucianism a religion? In one way or another, this question has been asked for as long as Westerners have tried to make sense of China—from the earliest translations done by the Jesuits half a millennium ago right up until the present. It can even be argued quite persuasively that thinkers from China and its East Asian neighbors have asked a similar kind of question almost since Confucius’s Analects began to be distributed in the centuries after the sage’s death. The broader matter that should interest everyone teaching and researching East Asia is “What do we mean by ‘religion’?”

That question drives Anna Sun’s fine book about the role of Confucianism in a global world. Sun examines Confucianism as religious practice and world doctrine in several distinctive ways and from multiple angles. A sociologist by training, Sun’s emphasis on the dynamics of institutional growth in the study of religion—and especially the now-established academic field of religious studies—shows a distinctive blending of social analysis and historical research that serves her purposes well. In particular, she focuses on the growth of “world religion” as a concept. Before the late nineteenth century, the idea of global doctrines was a matter of interest for a relatively small group of thinkers, from Ibn Khaldun and Giambattista Vico to Immanuel Kant and Max Weber. In no case, however, did anything like a groundswell for comparative study of “world religions” appear.

The greatest strength of Sun’s book is the way it explains why even the question—“Do you identify as a Confucian?”—doesn’t make sense in the context of living, breathing people in China...

That changed in the last decades of the nineteenth century, when a newfound globalism could be seen in everything from university programs and academic chairs to the internationalization of national identity in the form of world expositions and the Olympic Games. Indeed, the 1893 Chicago World’s Fair—the Colombian Exposition—was the scene for a dramatic intellectual gathering, the Parliament of World Religions, where a number of key intellectuals, from Max Müller to James Legge, established a fully fledged discipline based on comparative methods.

The almost-inevitable downside of the process was the conflation of belief or practice with a kind of national or global identity. Thus, “Hinduism,” “Daoism,” and “Confucianism” came to occupy a shared intellectual space with “Christianity,” “Judaism,” and “Islam.” This was as intriguing as it was problematic for these thinkers, and both the benefits and stark negatives have followed the field of religious studies to this day. Sun traces the historical threads that led to assumptions of Confucianism as a world religion; she also shows in detail just where the distinctions and nuances lie in contemporary Confucian practice and why they are often problematic in social science survey research.

So what does it mean to be “Confucian” in China today? Sun is appropriately critical of social science research methods that seem unable to pinpoint what “religion” means in context. It begins with self-identification. Ask a Lutheran in Minnesota if she identifies as a “Christian” and there will be immediate understanding of the question itself and, in most cases, a readily apparent answer. Nothing like this happens if (as is common practice in social science research, even today) one asks someone in China if she identifies as “Confucian.” The problem is not one of doctrine or contemporary secularism in China. The problem is that the question doesn’t even make sense to the vast majority of people in China.

The greatest strength of Sun’s book is the way it explains why even the question—“Do you identify as a Confucian?”—doesn’t make sense in the context of living, breathing people in China. In one way or another, this question has been asked for as long as Westerners have tried to make sense of China—from the earliest translations done by the Jesuits half a millennium ago right up until the present. It can even be argued quite persuasively that thinkers from China and its East Asian neighbors have asked a similar kind of question almost since Confucius’s Analects began to be distributed in the centuries after the sage’s death. The broader matter that should interest everyone teaching and researching East Asia is “What do we mean by ‘religion?’”

That question drives Anna Sun’s fine book about the role of Confucianism in a global world. Sun examines Confucianism as religious practice and world doctrine in several distinctive ways and from multiple angles. A sociologist by training, Sun’s emphasis on the dynamics of institutional growth in the study of religion—and especially the now-established academic field of religious studies—shows a distinctive blending of social analysis and historical research that serves her purposes well. In particular, she focuses on the growth of “world religion” as a concept. Before the late nineteenth century, the idea of global doctrines was a matter of interest for a relatively small group of thinkers, from Ibn Khaldun and Giambattista Vico to Immanuel Kant and Max Weber. In no case, however, did anything like a groundswell for comparative study of “world religions” appear.

The greatest strength of Sun’s book is the way it explains why even the question—“Do you identify as a Confucian?”—doesn’t make sense in the context of living, breathing people in China...
the past. I have chosen Sun’s book as one of the texts in my advanced college seminar about Confucius and social theory, and it would be an appropriate text for modern Chinese sociology, politics, history, anthropology, religion, or other courses. While it is not a “textbook” or an “overview,” it engages its subject well and contextualizes the “Confucianism question” in ways that students will find useful. High school instructors will likely gain more from their own reading of the book, which can be distilled into classroom lessons, rather than assigning the book as a whole in a curriculum that would almost always emphasize breadth over its level of depth. The college or high school teacher who can articulate Confucian practice from the perspective of doing rather than “converting” or “believing” will accomplish a great deal, and the success of Sun’s book for teachers lies in this.  ■
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Donald Gregg had a remarkably long career spanning almost six decades, most of it connected with Asia. He served as a CIA officer in Japan, Viêt Nam, and Burma, and was the CIA station chief in Seoul from 1973 to 1975. From 1989 to 1993, he was the US ambassador to South Korea. After retiring from government service, he headed the Korea Society in New York and made six trips to North Korea to promote better relations with that country. In between, he served on the National Security Council. During these many years, he witnessed and played a part in so many key developments that his biography becomes intertwined with the history of US-Asian relations since the 1950s.

Gregg’s memoirs are therefore a good read for the many insights they provide into America’s involvement in Asia, especially the two Koreas, as well as for the insights into US intelligence operations and foreign policymaking. The book consists of thirty-one short chapters, easily accessible to undergraduate students and possibly advanced high students, although it does assume some background knowledge on recent Asian and American history. It is written, as the title suggests, in a rather episodic fashion rather than a smooth-flowing narrative, but this also means that readers can skip to the sections of greatest interest to them without getting too lost.